# Ector County Independent School District Blackshear Elementary Magnet 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



## **Mission Statement**

At Blackshear Magnet Elementary, we focus on making student growth through engaging learning experiences, positive relationships, two-way communication and a safe learning environment.

## Vision

At Blackshear Magnet Elementary, we prepare students for college and career readiness by creating life-long learners and productive citizens.

## Value Statement

We believe that....

- 1. All students will make one year's growth in reading and math from the beginning of the year MAP testing in K-5.
  - 2. Fourth and fifth graders will increase by 10% of their previous year's STAAR scores in Reading and Math.
    - 3. Teacher's will show growth in Domains 1 and 2.

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	14
Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: ECISD will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices. Blackshear will incorporate with 100% fidelity of the ECISD framework.	15
Goal 2: Invest in Talent: ECISD will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today. 100% of Blackshear teachers will be highly qualified. Blackshear will maintain 67% of our teaching staff.	20
Goal 3: Learning Journey: ECISD will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. ECISD will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society. 164 students out of 301 students in thirds through fifth will perform at the Meets level on	
the STAAR test 2022.	22
Addendums	28

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

## **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

Years of Experience by Subject	2016 - 2017 2017 - 2018 2018 - 20		- 2019	2019 2019 - 2020			2020 - 2021			
	FTE Count	Percentage	FTE Count	Percentage	FTE Count	Percentage	FTE Count	Percentage	FTE Count	Percentage
Beginning Teachers	3.1	6.7%	4	8.6%	2	4.5%	6.6	16.6%	8.9	20.7%
1 - 5 Years Experience	7	15.1%	8.1	17.5%	8	18.2%	9.2	23.1%	10.1	23.5%
6 - 10 Years Experience	10.3	22.2%	9	19.4%	5	11.4%	5	12.6%	6.3	14.7%
11 - 20 Years Experience	13.7	29.5%	11.2	24.1%	15	34.1%	9.1	22.9%	8.5	19.8%
Over 20 Years Experience	12.3	26.5%	14.1	30.4%	13.9	31.6%	9.9	24.9%	9.2	21.4%
Total Teacher FTEs	46.4	100.0%	46.4	100.0%	44	100.0%	39.8	100.0%	43	100.0%

Student Mobility Rate Report for All Campuses

		2020 - 2021		2019 - 2020 2018 - 2019		2017 - 2018			2016 - 2017							
	obile Studer	All Students	Hobility Rat	obile Studes	All Students	Hobility Rat	obile Studer	All Students	dobility Rat	obile Studer	All Students	dobility Rat	obile Studer	All Students	dobility Rat	obile \$
EL Magnet At Blackshear	107	600	17.83%	112	659	17.00%	40	614	6.51%	123	650	18.92%	113	617	18.31%	137
District	4,680	30,136	15.53%	4,705	31,201	15.08%	5,098	31,199	16.34%	4,827	30,317	15.92%	4,204	29,486	14.26%	4,73

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Blackshear Magnet Elementary has a mobility rate of 17-18% students and teachers.

Our K through fifth grade classrooms meet the required student-teacher ratio.

Blackshear Magnet has an active PTA board that works closely with the school to promote student and school success.

In 2019, 72% of students were at the Approaches grade level in Domain II in ELA/Reading in 4th-5th grade. 73% of students were at the Approaches grade level in Domain II for 4th-5th grade students in Math.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Student attendance lags behind the state average. **Root Cause:** Parents do not understand and/or value the importance of regular school attendance.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** T-TESS results show that teachers are not formally and informally collecting, analyzing, and using student progress data to makes needed lesson adjustments. **Root Cause:** Lack of daily exit tickets / formative assessments to collect and analyze student progress towards objectives.

### **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

SC11001 Teal 2016-19

#### **EL MAGNET AT BLACKSHEAR**

TE

Grades Served K-5 Student Enrollment Details 683 Students Enrolled District ECTOR COUNTY ISD Address P O BOX 3912, ODESSA, TX 79760

# HOW WELL DID THIS SCHOOL PERFORM OVERALL?



74 out of 100

This shows how well this school prepared students for success, both in school and after high school in college, a career, or the military.

#### CHANGE OVER TIME

2017-18 Improvement Required 56 out of 100 2018-19 C 74 out of 100

This section showcases annually the overall grade of this campus to showcase their improvement over time. The overall grade is based on performance in the three domains listed below.

#### OVERALL PERFORMANCE DETAILS





53 out of 100

Student Achievement shows how much students know and are able to do at the

Blackshear Elementary Magnet Generated by Plan4Learning.com





75 out of 100

School Progress shows how students perform over time and how that growth





71 out of 100

The Closing the Gaps domain tells us how well different populations of

Approcahes	Meets	Masters
47	17	6
56	22	11
48	20	10
56	19	7
54	20	9
53	20	7
	47 56 48 56 54	47 17 56 22 48 20 56 19 54 20

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

The Panorama Connectedness survey indicates a score of 72%.

Student Math scores were maintained on the STAAR from 2019-2021.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause:** Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause:** Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Student attendance lags behind the state average. **Root Cause:** Parents do not understand and/or value the importance of regular school attendance.

**Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized):** T-TESS results show that teachers are not formally and informally collecting, analyzing, and using student progress data to makes needed lesson adjustments. **Root Cause:** Lack of daily exit tickets / formative assessments to collect and analyze student progress towards objectives.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. Root Cause: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to Blackshear Elementary Magnet

Generated by Plan4Learning.com

7 of 29

September 17, 2021 4:25 PM

student outcomes.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Blackshear Elementary works with the Human Resources Department to hire Highly Qualified individuals for our positions. 27 out of 29 classroom teachers are highly qualified. We utilize TalentEd, lists provided by Human Resources from job fairs and other recruitment evets.

Blackshear promotes positive working relationships where teachers feel supported and where we hold each other to a high standard.

Blackshear Elementary uses the Ector County Independent School District curriculum to provide instruction in all core content areas. Students participate in Short-Cycle assessments designed by the district/TRS to monitor student progress, teachers disseminate this data to modify their instruction to meet the needs of all students. Students participate in MAP assessments three times per school year to measure growth. Teachers use this data to adjust their instruction. We also uses the approved computer programs to monitor student progress and provide intervention if necessary. Teachers use the instructional models provided by the district for Guided Reading, Guided Math, etc.

Blackshear meets weekly 1-2 times in PLC's for instructional planning, PD, and data analysis. The DDI process is the focus for all PLCs.

Teachers have an intervention/RTI time designed into their day to provide small group instruction where needed.

CIT was elected and meets on a monthly basis to implement site based decision making.

PTA will be elected in August 2021 and will meet monthly to plan out what students need to be successful in their learning.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

#### **Curriculum and Instruction:**

Opportunity Culture began in 2020 at Blackshear Magnet. MCLs lead teachers in their instructional planning, data analysis and lesson delivery. MCLs provide coaching and model lessons as needed.

The district's curriculum will be followed with fidelity.

Assessments are created by the district and are all TEKS and STAAR aligned to meet the needs of students.

#### **School Context and Organization:**

School routines and procedures are clear and efficient providing a safe and secure environment for students, parents, teachers.

Our Magnet program is a draw to the community with 112 students served with some on the wait list.

CIT, Leadership team, and Dual Language team all meet on a regular basis to create and monitor campus goals. Use of staff development days allow for the following vertical teams: ELAR/SLAR, Writing, Science, and Math.

#### Recruit/Support/Retain:

Blackshear Magnet will begin the 2021-22 school year with 27of 29 teaching staff being fully certified. 8 bilingual teachers 21 monolingual teachers

#### **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** A large applicant pool of teachers does not exist in ECISD. **Root** Cause: The high cost of living and oil industry in Odessa has limited applicant pool.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Teacher's receive training with no follow up trainings during the year to ensure success. **Root Cause:** Teachers not able to develop due to lack of follow up with training, excess districts mandates and ever changing district expectation

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause:** Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

**Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized):** The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause:** Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized):** Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause:** Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized):** T-TESS results show that teachers are not formally and informally collecting, analyzing, and using student progress data to makes needed lesson adjustments. **Root Cause:** Lack of daily exit tickets / formative assessments to collect and analyze student progress towards objectives.

## **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Blackshear has an average student number of 680 students, 29 Classroom teachers, 1 Fine Arts teacher, 1 Physical Education teacher, and an aide, and 2 Special Education teachers. We have four to five classrooms per grade level with one or two being bilingual at every level. We house 2 GT teachers, a counselor, a media specialist and a Dyslexia Interventionist. One Reading Coach also serve our K-2nd grade students.

School Survey for Parents 2020;

Perceptions of School Activities and Engagement - 65%

Safety - 71%

Relationships - 68%

Expectations - 70%



BLACKSHEAR ELEM SCHOOL Spring 2021 Student SEL & Well-Being (Spring 2021), Grades 3-5



#### Summary

Topic Description	tion Results		
Self-Efficacy	67%	57%	ELEMENTARY
How much students believe they can succeed in achieving academic outcomes.	▲11 since last survey	57%	ECTOR COUNTY ISD
Self-Management	71%	68%	ELEMENTARY
How well students manage their emotions, thoughts, and behaviors in different situations.	▲ 6 since last survey	68%	ECTOR COUNTY ISD
Social Awareness	73%	68%	ELEMENTARY
How well students consider the perspectives of others and empathize with them.	▲ 10 since last survey	68%	ECTOR COUNTY ISD
Supportive Relationships	88%	87%	ELEMENTARY
	▲3 since last survey	87%	ECTOR COUNTY ISD

327 responses

The Panorama student survey results indicate that we need to work on instilling the GROWTH MINDSET in our students.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**





#### Summary

Topic Description	Results	Comparison			
Self-Efficacy  How much students believe they can succeed in achieving academic outcomes.	67%	57% 57%	ELEMENTARY ECTOR COUNTY ISD		
Self-Management  How well students manage their emotions, thoughts, and behaviors in different situations.	71%	68% 68%	ELEMENTARY ECTOR COUNTY ISD		
Social Awareness  How well students consider the perspectives of others and empathize with them.	73% - 10 since last survey	68% 68%	ELEMENTARY ECTOR COUNTY ISD		
Supportive Relationships	88%	87% 87%	ELEMENTARY ECTOR COUNTY ISD		

327 responses

Our Panorama students surveys reveal that students feel that they are supported by teachers or staff members who work to create positive and supportive relationships.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 4**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement.

Root Cause 4: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Achievement - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 5**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas.

Root Cause 5: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Achievement - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 6**: A large applicant pool of teachers does not exist in ECISD.

Root Cause 6: The high cost of living and oil industry in Odessa has limited applicant pool.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention - School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 7**: Teacher's receive training with no follow up trainings during the year to ensure success.

Root Cause 7: Teachers not able to develop due to lack of follow up with training, excess districts mandates and ever changing district expectation

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment - School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 8**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement.

Root Cause 8: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Achievement - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 10**: Student attendance lags behind the state average.

Root Cause 10: Parents do not understand and/or value the importance of regular school attendance.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: Demographics - Demographics - Student Learning

**Problem Statement 11**: T-TESS results show that teachers are not formally and informally collecting, analyzing, and using student progress data to makes needed lesson adjustments.

Root Cause 11: Lack of daily exit tickets / formative assessments to collect and analyze student progress towards objectives.

Problem Statement 11 Areas: Student Achievement - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment - Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

• District goals

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2

# Goals

**Goal 1:** Foundational Excellence: ECISD will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices. Blackshear will incorporate with 100% fidelity of the ECISD framework.

**Performance Objective 1:** Student attendance will increase from 93.5% in 2020 to 94.5% in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Attendance final year report for 2021-2022.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Blackshear Elementary School will provide incentives for perfect attendance goals met monthly and every		Formative		Summative
9 weeks in an effort to improve attendance to 94.5 %	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance Rate of 94.5%		0 1122		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk, Teachers, Counselor, Administrators and Parents				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2 - Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Demographics						
Problem Statement 1: Student attendance lags behind the state average. Root Cause: Parents do not understand and/or value the importance of regular school attendance.						
Student Learning						
Problem Statement 3: Student attendance lags behind the state average. Root Cause: Parents do not understand and/or value the importance of regular school attendance.						

**Performance Objective 2:** Provide differentiated processes for priority classrooms/students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson Plans, Walk Throughs, Student Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize LLI intervention kits for K-3 grade. K-5th grade will utilize Imagine Learning and	Formative			Summative
Istation 60 minutes a week per program.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Student Growth		0 11-1		- Lang
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team, MCLs, Administrators and Teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF				
Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers,				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1 - School Context and Organization 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

**Performance Objective 3:** BME will utilize the DDI process as a data structure to inform processes.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP Growth Assessments, Short Cycle Unit Assessments,

Strategy 1 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 1: MCLs for2nd - 5th grade will meet weekly with their team and principal to review data, lesson plans, create		Formative	Formative	
a plan of action, and discuss follow through. Principals will meet weekly with K and 1 where no MCL representative exists.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in identified areas of need.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: MCLs, Principals, Teachers				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Achievement 1, 2, 4 - Student Learning 1, 2, 5 - School Processes & Programs 3, 4, 5 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 4				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue	•	•

#### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 2**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Problem Statement 5**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 3**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

**Problem Statement 4**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 5**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root** Cause: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Performance Objective 4:** Develop the adult collective understanding and shared vision of Social Emotional Learning (SEL) that creates systemic change where SEL can thrive and feel connected to the school.

Evaluation Data Sources: Character Ed Lessons by Counselor 3 times month for K-5th; Lower Referral Numbers, 7 Mindsets

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Staff will engage in professional learning about SEL research and practice. Monitor behavior referrals and		Formative		
recurring behavior referrals from said students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of SEL research and practice. Assistance for teachers and students with recurring behavior issues.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers, Counselor				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

**Performance Objective 5:** Blackshear will provide a safe and supportive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Implement CHAMPS, Staff/Student/Parent Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Oct Jan Mar Mar Reviews Formative Sum			
Strategy 1: All staff will complete required Safe School's training, Trauma, SEL, Bullying Awareness, CHAMPS and		Formative		Summative	
Sexual Harassment training.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe and supportive environment					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals and Leadership Team					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Teachers will be trained on CHAMPS and will utilize training in classrooms and throughout the building.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe and Supportive Environment	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principals		9444	1/24/2	11243	
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Administrators will model Relay School wide routines and procedures and provide coaching.		Formative	Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe and Supportive Learning environment where student needs are kept at the forefront.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals and Teachers					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Survey data will be collected two times a year to monitor the safety of the school and the learning		Formative		Summative	
environment.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe and supportive learning environment.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team and Teachers					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Invest in furniture to allow for social distancing and easy reconfiguration.	Formative			Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Safe and supportive learning environment with furniture that is mobile as needed.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: FTEs				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 6:</b> Develop the leadership qualities within our staff to better serve our students.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe Supportive Learning Environment	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals MCLs				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

**Goal 2:** Invest in Talent: ECISD will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today. 100% of Blackshear teachers will be highly qualified. Blackshear will maintain 67% of our teaching staff.

**Performance Objective 1:** In 2021-2022, ECISD will offer a job-embedded, personalized professional learning system for teachers and administrators.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Employee Performance Evaluations - TTESS

Staff Retention Rates
Eduphoria STRIVE
Staff Exit Survey Data
Teacher teaching trends monitored
Coaching documents utilized and reviewed

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus administrators will conduct a minimum of five documented walkthroughs and or observation and		Formative		Summative
feedback meetings per week.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved learning time	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team and Principals				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide professional development for math and reading to ensure teachers are equipped with needed		Formative		
strategies to ensure student growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved math and reading tier 1 lessons and intervention.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers, MCLs  Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

**Goal 2:** Invest in Talent: ECISD will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today. 100% of Blackshear teachers will be highly qualified. Blackshear will maintain 67% of our teaching staff.

**Performance Objective 2:** Blackshear's teacher retention rate will increase from \_\_61\_\_% in 2020 to \_70%\_\_\_(+0.6% min.)\_\_in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** End of Year Teacher Retention Rate

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Relay coaching will be implemented by Leadership Team and MCLs through the use of Observation and	Formative			Summative
Coaching to identify areas of need in instruction and promote teacher growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Grow Highly Effective Teachers				J
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team, MCLs, and Principals				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and				
principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and				
Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue	•	•

**Performance Objective 1:** 3rd grade reading "Meets" percentages will increase from 21% (2021 STAAR) to 35% as measured by the 2022 STAAR Reading assessment.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, Short Cycle Assessments, District Benchmarks, STAAR 2022 results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews						
Strategy 1: Blackshear will follow district curriculum, scope and sequence and balanced literacy program to ensure	Formative			Formative S			Summative
student growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets from 21% to 26%							
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, Principals							
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,							
Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction							
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Achievement 1, 2, 4 - Student Learning 1, 2, 5 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3, 4, 5 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 3 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 3, 4							
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		1			

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 2**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Problem Statement 5**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 2**: Teacher's receive training with no follow up trainings during the year to ensure success. **Root Cause**: Teachers not able to develop due to lack of follow up with training, excess districts mandates and ever changing district expectation

**Problem Statement 3**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

**Problem Statement 4**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 5**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Performance Objective 2:** 3rd grade math "Meets" percentages will increase from 14% Meets (2021 STAAR) to 40% as measured by the 2022 STAAR Math assessment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Short Cycle Assessments, District Benchmarks, STAAR 2021 results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Blackshear will follow district curriculum, scope and sequence and Number Talks to ensure student growth.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets from 14% to 19%	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachrs, MCLs and Principals				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

**Performance Objective 3:** The percentage of students that Met Standard on all subjects/grades tested will increase from 20% (2021 STAAR) to 40% as measured by the 2022 STAAR assessment.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Results** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Blackshear will follow district curriculum, scope and sequence, balanced literacy and Number Talks to	Formative			Summative
ensure student success.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meets for all subjects/grades will rise from 20% to 25%		0 1111	1,242	112443
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, MCLs and Teachers				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math,				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2:				
Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue	•	

**Performance Objective 4:** The percentage of students meeting or exceeding the growth target will increase from 40% (2022 STAAR) to 50% as measured by the 2022 STAAR assessment.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Results** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Blackshear will follow district curriculum, literacy block and Number Talks to ensure student growth.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting or Exceeding growth from 72% to 75%	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: MCLs, Principals and Teachers				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

**Performance Objective 5:** The percentage of students reading on grade level in grades K-2 will increase to 50% on the MAP assessment.

**Evaluation Data Sources: MAP Growth** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Blackshear will follow district curriculum and literacy blocks to ensure student success	Formative Sumr			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 12-16 point increase in BOY to EOY MAP	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, and Principals				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Achievement 1, 2, 4 - Student Learning 1, 2, 5 - School Processes & Programs 3, 4, 5 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 4				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

#### **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 2**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

**Problem Statement 5**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

#### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 3**: Student performance data indicates there is a need for alignment between teacher planning, data tracking and analysis, and student achievement. **Root Cause**: Factors include lack of teacher retention, teachers needing support, and ensuring the DDI process and effective lesson planning are connected to student outcomes.

**Problem Statement 4**: The campus has persistently scored below the state target in the area of student achievement. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not fully understand how to analyze formative and summative data for the purpose of achieving maximum student growth.

**Problem Statement 5**: Lack of quality TIER 1 instruction resulted in poor student performance of all sub populations in all core areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality lesson planning with appropriate levels of rigor. 2. Lack of highly qualified teachers with content knowledge.

# Addendums