

RIVER ROAD I.S.D.

2012 School FIRST Annual Financial Management Report

(2010-2011 School Year, Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/2011)

2012 School FIRST Report

Table of Contents

2010-2011 School FIRST Rating and Supporting Indicators	1
2009-2010 School FIRST Rating and Supporting Indicators	5
Superintendent's Current Employment Contract	9
Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members	14
Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent	15
Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members	16
Business Transactions between RRISD and Board Members	17
Summary Schedule of Financial Solvency Data Submitted to TEA	18

YEAR 2010-2011

Select An Option

Help

Home

Log Out

FIRST

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2010-2011 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: RIVER ROAD ISD(188902)	Publication Level 1: 6/28/2012 12:33:14 PM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 PM
Rating: Superior Achievement	Last Updated: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 PM
District Score: 69	Passing Score: 52

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspendable and Restricted Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?</u>	6/15/2012 4:19:59 PM	Yes
2	<u>Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:00 PM	Yes
3	<u>Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:00 PM	Yes
4	<u>Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:00 PM	Yes
5	<u>Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:00 PM	Yes
6	<u>Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:01 PM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	<u>Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections</u>	6/15/2012	5

	<u>(Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?</u>	4:20:01 PM	
8	<u>Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:01 PM	5
9	<u>Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:02 PM	4
10	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:02 PM	5
11	<u>Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:02 PM	5
12	<u>Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:03 PM	5
13	<u>If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:03 PM	5
14	<u>Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:03 PM	5
15	<u>Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:03 PM	5
16	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:04 PM	5
17	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:04 PM	5
18	<u>Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:04 PM	5
19	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General</u>	6/15/2012	5

	<u>Fund More Than \$0?</u>	4:20:05 PM	
20	<u>Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?</u>	6/15/2012 4:20:05 PM	5
			69 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			69 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did The District Answer ' No ' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer ' No ' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement .		
B.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)		
	Superior Achievement	64-70	
	Above Standard Achievement	58-63	
	Standard Achievement	52-57	
	Substandard Achievement	<52	

INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS

Indicator 17		Ranges for Ratios		Indicator 18		Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between		Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between		Low	High
< 500		7	22	< 500		5	14
500-999		10	22	500-999		5.8	14
1000-4999		11.5	22	1000-4999		6.3	14
5000-9999		13	22	5000-9999		6.8	14

=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	7.0	14
----------	------	----	----------	-----	----

OPTIONS

Update Unpassed	Update All	Lower Publication Level	Suspend
-----------------	------------	-------------------------	---------

Suspension Reason.

Audit Home Page: [School Financial Audits](#) | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734